

EXHIBIT A

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
2 EASTERN DIVISION

3 WACKER DRIVE EXECUTIVE)
4 SUITES, LLC, on behalf of)
4 itself, individually, and on)
5 behalf of all others)
5 similarly situated,)
6)
6 Plaintiffs,)
7)
7 vs.) No. 1:18-cv-5492
8)
8 JONES LANG LASALLE AMERICAS)
9 (ILLINOIS), LP,)
9)
10 Defendant.)

11 THE REMOTE DEPOSITION OF MARK HOSFIELD,
12 called for examination pursuant to the Rules of
13 Civil Procedure for the United States District
14 Courts pertaining to the taking of depositions,
15 taken remotely before Nohemi Salazar Pitts,
16 Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the
17 State of Illinois, on July 28, 2020, pursuant to
18 Notice, commencing at 10:06 a.m. CST.

19
20
21
22
23
24

DEPOSITION OF MARK HOSFIELD

1 REMOTE APPEARANCES:

2 FOSTER PC
BY: MR. HOWARD FOSTER, Esquire
3 BY: MR. MATTHEW GALIN, Esquire
100 North Wacker Drive
4 Suite 2150
Chicago, Illinois 60606
5 Phone: 312.726.1600
E-mail: Hfoster@fosterpc.com
6 E-mail: Mgalin@fosterpc.com

7 -and-

8 STEPHAN ZOURAS, LLP
BY: MR. JAMES B. ZOURAS, Esquire
9 100 North Riverside Plaza
Suite 2150
10 Chicago, Illinois 60606
Phone: 312.233.1550
11 E-mail: Jzouras@stephanzouras.com

12 Appeared on behalf of the
Plaintiffs;

14 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
BY: MR. SCOTT T. SCHUTTE, Esquire
15 BY: MS. HEATHER J. NELSON, Esquire
77 West Wacker Drive, 5th Floor
16 Chicago, Illinois 60601
Phone: 312.324.1000
17 E-mail: Scott.schutte@morganlewis.com
E-mail: Heather.nelson@morganlewis.com

18 Appeared on behalf of the Defendant.

20
21
22
23
24

2

1 THE REPORTER: The parties in this
2 deposition acknowledge that I am not physically
3 present in the deposition room and that I will be
4 reporting this deposition remotely pursuant to
5 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 29.

6 They further acknowledge that in
7 lieu of an oath administered in person, the witness
8 will verbally declare his testimony under penalty
9 of perjury. The parties and their counsel consent
10 to this arrangement and waive any objections to
11 this manner of reporting.

12 Please indicate your agreement by
13 stating your name and your agreement on the record.

14 MR. FOSTER: Howard Foster, I agree.
15 I represent the Plaintiff.

16 MR. SCHUTTE: Scott Schutte on behalf of
17 Jones Lang LaSalle, and we also agree on behalf of
18 the Defendant.

19 THE REPORTER: Mr. Hosfield, please
20 raise your right hand.

21 (Whereupon, the witness was
22 administered an oath.)

23 MR. FOSTER: Before we begin, I just
24 want to make it clear for the record Matthew Galin

1 I N D E X

2 MARK HOSFIELD

EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. FOSTER

5

4

5

6

7 E X H I B I T S

8

INTRODUCED
9 HOSFIELD DESCRIPTION PAGE

10 Exhibit 1 Mark Hosfield Report 12

11 Exhibit 2 Lab Construction Costs, Bates 000650 67

12 Exhibit 3 Kaestner Report 31

13 Group Exhibit 4 Sworn Statement For Contractor and
Subcontractor to Owner 77

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

1 and James Zouras are also on this deposition call
2 in attendance for the Plaintiff.

3 Is there anything you would like to
4 note on the record?

5 MR. SCHUTTE: Let me add that Heather
6 Nelson of Morgan Lewis is also only the line for
7 Jones Lang LaSalle.

8 (WHEREUPON, technological
9 issues were resolved
10 off the record.)

11 MARK HOSFIELD,
12 called as a witness herein, having been first
13 administered an oath, was examined and testified
14 remotely via videoconference as follows:

15 EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. FOSTER:

17 Q. Dr. Hosfield, this is Howard Foster.
18 I'm sure you know who I am. I'll be taking your
19 deposition in this matter.

20 And I can see from your CV that you have
21 been deposed many times, so I'm assuming you know
22 the format. If you don't understand a question
23 that I have asked, just please let me know, and I
24 will try and, well, rephrase if necessary.

4

5

1 MR. FOSTER: Did we lose our audio?
 2 MR. SCHUTTE: No. You're on the phone.
 3 THE WITNESS: No.
 4 (Whereupon, a discussion was
 5 had off the record.)

6 BY THE WITNESS:

7 **A. Let me just state that I am not a**
 8 **doctor, so you don't have to call me Dr. Hosfield.**

9 BY MR. FOSTER:

10 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't --

11 A. **That's okay.**

12 Q. I thought by academic, you -- okay.

13 Mr. Hosfield. All right.

14 And if you'd like to take a break, let
 15 us know, but you cannot take a break while a
 16 question is pending.

17 All right. Let me start by asking you
 18 if you have ever examined the question of the
 19 difference between the price of union and non-union
 20 labor before in any of your professional work?

21 **A. You're talking about the price of union**
 22 **and non-union, like the wage rates?**

23 Q. Yes.

24 A. **Well, it varies all over the country,**

6

1 **but I have dealt with it before on construction**
 2 **projects, yes.**

3 Q. Okay. Have you dealt with it for
 4 particular clients in your consulting business?

5 **A. I have had clients who have had, for**
 6 **example, open shop subsidiaries and things that had**
 7 **non-union labor and also had union labor. So --**
 8 **but I have dealt with those kind of wage rates**
 9 **before, sure.**

10 Q. Have you represented both union clients
 11 and management clients?

12 A. **What do you mean by management clients?**

13 Q. Management side as opposed to the union
 14 side of labor disputes.

15 A. **Okay. I don't -- I think you segued**
 16 **into labor disputes without any way to get there.**
 17 **I haven't been involved in labor disputes except as**
 18 **a manager of a business. And I had two union**
 19 **plants and one non-union plant that I operated,**
 20 **then I had some labor disputes here and there, but**
 21 **as a consultant, I've dealt more with the costs of**
 22 **projects and in some cases the management of**
 23 **projects.**

24 Q. Okay. When you are hired as a

7

1 consultant to work on projects, is it to determine
 2 the cost of them?

3 A. **It depends on the situation.**

4 Q. Have you analyzed the cost of union and
 5 compared it to non-union labor in any particular
 6 project?

7 A. **Well, usually on a project, you have one**
 8 **or the other. So you wouldn't do that on a**
 9 **particular project.**

10 Q. Have you ever recommended to a client
 11 that they use either union or non-union labor for
 12 a project?

13 A. **I have not been in a position to**
 14 **recommend -- I have not been asked to recommend a**
 15 **certain contractor to a client one way or the**
 16 **other.**

17 Q. What about generally, union versus
 18 non-union labor --

19 A. **I don't think that's --**

20 Q. -- for a particular contractor?

21 A. **I don't think that's a question, or**
 22 **I don't understand it if it is one.**

23 Q. Have you ever recommended that a
 24 particular client use union labor or non-union

1 labor generally as opposed to a particular
 2 contractor?

3 A. **I don't think I have been asked to make**
 4 **such a recommendation, no.**

5 Q. So is it correct to say, then, that for
 6 some clients, you have recommended the use of
 7 non-union contractors?

8 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.
 9 Go ahead.

10 BY THE WITNESS:

11 A. **I think that's exactly the opposite of**
 12 **my answer that I just gave you. So no, it would**
 13 **not be correct that I recommended that a client use**
 14 **either union or non-union. I don't recommend which**
 15 **type of labor my clients choose to use.**

16 BY MR. FOSTER:

17 Q. But I thought -- I didn't ask it that
 18 way. I thought I was now talking about particular
 19 contractors.

20 You said that -- I believe you said that
 21 you have recommended the use of particular
 22 contractors to clients; is that correct?

23 A. **No, I have not.**

24 Q. So am I correct that you have not

8

9

1 recommended to a client that they use any
 2 particular contractor or union generally or
 3 non-union contractors?

4 **A. I have not recommended that a client**
 5 **pick a particular contractor. The customers that**
 6 **are hiring contractors, if they are my clients --**
 7 **sometimes the contractors are my clients, but if**
 8 **the owner is a client, I have not recommended that**
 9 **they -- I have not been asked to recommend one**
 10 **particular contractor over another.**

11 **Q.** Is it typically the case when you're
 12 involved in a construction project that you know
 13 that a particular construction project is going to
 14 be a union project or a non-union project?

15 **A. I don't know the answer to that**
 16 **question. Not necessarily, no.**

17 **Q.** Have you ever offered an opinion in the
 18 past as to the productivity of union laborers as
 19 non-union labor?

20 **A. I don't recall. I have experienced the**
 21 **difference between union and non-union labor as an**
 22 **employer, but I don't recall whether I have --**
 23 **I mean, I probably have somewhere along the road,**
 24 **but I haven't -- I can't recall a specific**

10

1 **circumstance where that --**

2 **Q.** Okay.

3 **A. -- (unintelligible) were done.**

4 **Q.** Am I correct that you do not have a
 5 degree in economics?

6 **A. I have economic coursework, but I do not**
 7 **have a degree in economics. It's an accounting**
 8 **finance and operations management is my Master's.**

9 **Q.** I'd like to enter, make as an exhibit
 10 your report at this time.

11 **MR. FOSTER:** So I'm asking the court
 12 reporter to label it. Should we call that Hosfield
 13 Exhibit No. 1?

14 **BY MR. FOSTER:**

15 **Q.** So let's move on, please. All right.

16 Mr. Hosfield, let me take you to your exhibit, sort
 17 of in the middle of the page. "I have also --

18 **A. What page?**

19 **MR. SCHUTTE:** Howard?

20 **BY MR. FOSTER:**

21 **Q.** -- analyzed dozens of construction
 22 claims involving the analysis of numerous factors,
 23 including schedules, delays and disruptions,
 24 acceleration, bids, estimates, costs, means and

11

1 methods, and labor productivity and its impact on
 2 labor costs."

3 **Okay. Do you see that?**

4 **MR. SCHUTTE:** Howard, what's being
 5 displayed as Exhibit 1 is not his report.

6 **MR. FOSTER:** What is being displayed as
 7 Exhibit 1? I asked that it be Mr. Hosfield's
 8 report.

9 **MR. SCHUTTE:** It's a document that's
 10 titled "Hosfield Dep - Group Ex 1" --

11 **MR. FOSTER:** Okay. I'm sorry. That's
 12 the wrong exhibit. Nohemi, I think you've made the
 13 wrong thing as Exhibit 1.

14 **THE REPORTER:** The exhibit I was given
 15 was marked Exhibit 1.

16 (bWhereupon, a discussion was
 17 had off the record.)

18 (bWhereupon, Hosfield Exhibit 1
 19 was introduced.)

20 **BY MR. FOSTER:**

21 **Q.** Do you have a copy of your report,
 22 Mr. Hosfield?

23 **A. Yes, I do.**

24 **MR. FOSTER:** Okay. Scott, do you have a

12

1 copy of his report?

2 **MR. SCHUTTE:** I do. Yeah, that's what I
 3 was going to suggest, the same thing.

4 **MR. FOSTER:** Why don't we just proceed
 5 with the report. We all know what it is, and we
 6 all have a copy of it. So we'll take care of
 7 getting it to the court reporter later. Okay?

8 **BY MR. FOSTER:**

9 **Q.** On Page 2, in the middle of the page,
 10 you say, "I have also analyzed dozens of
 11 construction claims involving the analysis of
 12 numerous factors, including schedules, delays and
 13 disruptions, acceleration, bids, estimates, costs,
 14 means and methods, and labor productivity and its
 15 impact on labor costs."

16 Do you see that, sir?

17 **A. Yes, I see it.**

18 **Q.** Okay. How do you define labor
 19 productivity?

20 **A. The amount of progress that's made**
 21 **per -- on a particular project because, you know,**
 22 **your cost structure would be the amount of progress**
 23 **that's made per hour, and the progress would be**
 24 **measured based on whatever line item measurement.**

13

1 **If it was a lump sum, it might be a percentage of
2 completion if the line item had to do with the
3 quantity, feet of miles driven, concrete poured you
4 want measured based on the quantity, but you would
5 look at these changes of productivity, hours and
6 costs per unit installed, essentially, and impacts
7 of outside events on that labor productivity.**

8 Q. Can you reduce that to a formula?

9 A. **Do I reduce what to a formula?**

10 Q. Can you define productivity as a
11 formula, productivity equals and then -- like an
12 economist would do?

13 A. **Well, I think everybody does it,
14 economists -- I mean, everybody looks -- measures
15 productivity in some way.**

16 Q. I'm asking you your --

17 A. **I think economists probably do it pretty
18 similarly too.**

19 Q. -- (unintelligible) express it as a
20 formula.

21 A. **You generally would calculate it based
22 on a -- depending on the particular situation and
23 the particular area of the project or line item
24 you're measuring, you'd measure it based on cost**

14

1 **per unit installed or cost per amount of completion
2 that's taken place.**
3 **You have to -- you'd actually tailor it
4 to that line item or pay item, if you will, that
5 you're measuring the productivity for.**

6 Q. Now, so that's not really a formula that
7 I have in mind such as an economist would provide.
8 It's more like your -- an informal way of thinking
9 about it. Would you agree with that?

10 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.

11 Go ahead.

12 BY THE WITNESS:

13 A. **I have no idea what you just said.
14 I didn't understand -- you said what I said wasn't a
15 formula like an economist would provide.**

16 **What do you think is a formula that an
17 economist provides, so I understand what you're
18 talking about.**

19 BY MR. FOSTER:

20 Q. Okay. So an economist would use a
21 series of variables to express different factors
22 that go into productivity.

23 A. **Okay. So what you're not -- that's not
24 an economist measuring productivity. That's an**

15

1 **economist attempting to estimate the impact of
2 certain variables on productivity. So that's a
3 different issue.**

4 Q. Right. Okay. Can you --

5 A. **What I'm measuring is actual labor
6 productivity on these projects. Economists are
7 trying to estimate what kind of things may impact
8 productivity, but they don't really measure the
9 productivity itself based on the way you've
10 described the formula.**

11 Q. But I'm having a hard time understanding
12 how you define productivity. So I'm wondering if
13 you can give me a hard and fast rule or definition
14 or how you express it, in your mind.

15 A. **I think it's the way they do it in the
16 construction industry too. This is standard stuff.**

17 **You would look at -- we're not
18 estimating productivity on a project; we're
19 measuring actual productivity. Economists are
20 attempting to estimate.**

21 **What you're describing, would be an
22 economist or me or a financial person or a
23 construction manager trying to estimate what types
24 of things might impact, what variables might impact**

16

1 **labor productivity and to what extent those
2 variables impact it. And that's what I'm hearing
3 you describe, and that's kind of an imprecise
4 measure of what might happen in a hypothetical
5 situation.**

6 **What I'm measuring on these projects is
7 how much time and cost were spent for 1,000 cubic
8 feet of concrete for a highway project or to drive
9 80 feet of piles or to accomplish some other amount
10 of dirt moved.**

11 **I'm observing how much cost and labor
12 hours were spent to do that at various points in
13 time in the project. And therefore, then you can
14 look at how the labor productivity on that project
15 changed and look at what types of things actually
16 cost to change. I'm not doing hypotheticals; I'm
17 doing actual things.**

18 Q. So if you're looking at how much cost
19 and labor were spent per hour to do a project,
20 would that be a fair categorization of what you're
21 thinking?

22 A. **That wouldn't even be close to what
23 I just said. It's not per hour; it's the amount of
24 hours. And if cost -- if the labor rate is**

17

1 different for different groups, it would be the
 2 amount of hours and the labor cost that was spent
 3 to accomplish a level of production on a project.

4 That's what productivity measures, is
 5 how much production is performed, how much
 6 completion is accomplished, how much progress is
 7 made based upon -- and how many hours it took to do
 8 that, and what the ultimate cost was to do that.

9 Q. So I'm trying again to summarize this to
 10 make sure I understand your definition for
 11 productivity.

12 Am I correct that the amount of hours
 13 and labor costs on a project is how you look at it?

14 A. That would be a piece of what you would
 15 put into it, but I think the answer I just gave is
 16 what I would refer you to. That answer stands on
 17 its own and describes labor productivity, which is
 18 measuring the hours and costs used to accomplish a
 19 certain amount of progress on a project. That's
 20 the easiest way I can generalize for you. The
 21 specific --

22 Q. You mean hours and products?

23 A. Each specific project has its own
 24 situation you have to consider. Each type of work

18

1 has considerations you have to think about, but
 2 it's measuring the actual hours and ultimately the
 3 labor cost incurred by the contractor to accomplish
 4 progress on a project. That's what productivity is
 5 in the construction industry.

6 Q. Okay. So point me, please, to a place
 7 in your report where you have said that. As I'm
 8 looking through your report, I'm struggling to find
 9 your definition of productivity.

10 A. I don't know if there was a point where
 11 I defined it. It's a standard in the construction
 12 industry, I'm not sure I described that in my
 13 report if I --

14 Q. I don't think you did. And since you're
 15 referring to your opinion about productivity,
 16 I thought that you would do that, but I couldn't
 17 find it. Okay?

18 A. I'm trying to figure out --

19 Q. You have told me now in this deposition,
 20 and so let's move on.

21 A. Let me just make one comment, is that
 22 when I'm answering a question and you jump in as
 23 I'm just finishing, it's impossible for me to hear
 24 and for the court reporter to take it down, so

19

1 maybe --

2 Q. I'm -- I'm sorry.

3 A. May be best to do a little pause after
 4 your question and after my answer, just to make
 5 sure it's finished, and I think we'll get along
 6 better if we do that.

7 Q. And I totally agree. On the subject of
 8 productivity -- But let me step back from that.

9 Would you generally agree that
 10 contractors operating in competitive labor markets
 11 have to price their services at or close to their
 12 marginal cost?

13 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.

14 Go ahead.

15 BY THE WITNESS:

16 A. I'm not sure what you mean when you ask
 17 that question. It doesn't make sense in the
 18 context you have asked it. What are you saying?

19 BY MR. FOSTER:

20 Q. I mean, generally in economics, the
 21 price of anything is going to be the marginal cost
 22 of production. Would you agree with that?

23 A. Well, what do you mean by the marginal
 24 cost of production in the price? In other words,

20

1 are you saying that a person would price a certain
 2 project based on the cost or equal to the cost it
 3 causes them to do that project?

4 Q. Right. Generally, that's correct in
 5 ECON 101. A person in a competitive labor market
 6 selling anything, widgets, labor, steel, is going
 7 to be able to -- would have to price it at its
 8 marginal cost, also known as the market price?

9 A. Yeah, I don't understand. And I have
 10 done a lot of work in economics, and I have been
 11 considered an expert by the courts in economics,
 12 and I don't understand the question you're stating
 13 when you say it that way. It doesn't make sense to
 14 me. When you say marginal cost --

15 Q. This is --

16 A. Marginal cost --

17 Q. -- marginal cost --

18 A. Marginal cost in economics and in
 19 business and accounting means the additional cost
 20 incurred to do an additional amount of work. So
 21 what you're asking me doesn't make any sense to me,
 22 I'm sorry.

23 Q. Okay. So what you're saying is you
 24 don't understand how marginal cost affects the

21

1 price of anything?
 2 A. No. I'm saying I don't understand your
 3 question and your use of marginal cost in the way
 4 you're using it.

5 Q. Do you believe that marginal cost is
 6 related to price?
 7 A. Well, let's go back for just a minute.
 8 I don't believe marginal cost is related to price.
 9 It's possible price is related to marginal cost,
 10 but I need to know what you mean by marginal cost
 11 because the way you're using it isn't the standard
 12 way an economist or an accountant would use the
 13 term "marginal cost," or at least I don't
 14 understand it to be the same.

15 So what do you mean by marginal cost.

16 Q. What you just said, which is what
 17 economists call marginal cost is the cost to
 18 produce one additional unit.

19 A. All right. So your question is, Is the
 20 cost to produce one additional unit related to
 21 price?

22 Q. Yes.

23 A. And what --

24 Q. In a competitive labor -- in a

22

1 competitive market.

2 A. Well, in the construction market, that
 3 doesn't make sense, that question. I'm sorry, but
 4 it just doesn't.

5 Normally, in a business, if you were
 6 going to price something, you would price it in a
 7 way to cover all of your costs and not your
 8 marginal cost. Theoretically that's true, but if
 9 you run a business, that way, you will end up going
 10 out of business.

11 I know some economists believe that.
 12 Other economics understand that you have to cover
 13 the non-marginal costs too when you're selling
 14 something. So while there may be some
 15 relationship, that's not generally the way it's
 16 priced.

17 Q. That's not generally the way what is
 18 priced?

19 A. The way -- well, we go back to your
 20 original question. In a competitive bidding
 21 situation, I assume you mean in the construction
 22 environment, they price it based on the costs they
 23 will incur to do the work, the estimated costs they
 24 will incur to do the work, and they also may

23

1 consider the other contractors they're bidding
 2 against, and if they know what their costs are,
 3 they might be able to raise the price a little bit
 4 or may have to lower the price a little bit.

5 But there's so many things that go into
 6 selecting a contractor when you're creating a bid
 7 that to simplify it saying that the bid is based on
 8 marginal cost, besides not really making sense in
 9 that statement, is oversimplifying it to the point
 10 where it doesn't help anybody.

11 Q. Okay. Let me move on. You're critical
 12 of Dr. Kaestner's use of union wage data. And if
 13 you could please turn -- well, I'm sorry, strike
 14 that. I'm going to stay on the subject here of
 15 labor productivity.

16 You say in your report at the bottom of
 17 Page 8, "The total labor cost to a tenant for
 18 moving and renovation projects in commercial office
 19 space in downtown Chicago is based on a number of
 20 project-specific factors including the labor wage
 21 rate, the productivity of the contractor's labor
 22 force, the materials used, the competitive bidding
 23 environment, and the means and methods employed,"
 24 period.

24

1 You do not cite anything to support that
 2 statement. Why not?

3 A. Well, it's based on my experience and
 4 knowledge. And, frankly, it's common sense.
 5 I mean, it's just the things you need to consider.
 6 That's what goes into a bid. That's what goes into
 7 how fast and how -- and what the cost and hours
 8 spent are to do a particular project. That's
 9 common knowledge in the construction industry.

10 Q. Can you cite me anything that agrees
 11 with you that that's common knowledge in the
 12 construction industry, a specific --

13 A. My experience.

14 Q. Let me finish, sir.

15 A. Sure. I'm sorry.

16 Q. An article, a publication, anything that
 17 shows it's common knowledge?

18 A. It's based on -- I suppose I could.
 19 It's so rudimentary that I didn't bother to look up
 20 an article on bidding and so forth.

21 But it's my experience, it's based on my
 22 30 or 40 years of working around contractors and
 23 working with contractors and managing my own
 24 construction projects. And that's -- it's just

25

1 **very common knowledge. It's basic about what goes**
 2 **into the costs incurred in doing a construction**
 3 **project.**

4 Q. Okay. You said in that sentence that
 5 there are a number of factors included, and then
 6 you cite several of them.

7 Are there any factors that you didn't
 8 cite that are also included in there?

9 **A. Yeah, I mean, there may be others. If**
 10 **you're talking about a tenant moving and renovation**
 11 **project, it may be parking, access to the loading**
 12 **dock, but those are kind of -- they're**
 13 **building-specific kind of issues and area-specific.**

14 **I gave you the things that are the most**
 15 **common and probably the most impactful there that**
 16 **cross broadly across all projects of this type.**

17 Q. What are the other factors that could be
 18 included in certain particular jobs other than
 19 parking?

20 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.

21 Go ahead.

22 BY THE WITNESS:

23 **A. You know, you would have to look. There**
 24 **may be building hours restrictions for loading**

26

1 **specifically to support that opinion in the next**
 2 **ten pages or so. There's ten pages of support.**

3 BY MR. FOSTER:

4 Q. Well, experts are required to -- are you
 5 done, sir?

6 **A. That's -- I was going to say that's an**
 7 **example where you have to let me finish.**

8 **I cite specific documents as a basis and**
 9 **reasoning and support for that opinion in the next**
 10 **ten pages. That's kind of a summary statement of**
 11 **my opinion as to that particular aspect of**
 12 **Dr. Kaestner's report.**

13 Q. Are you done now, sir?

14 A. I am.

15 Q. Okay. Experts are required to show the
 16 court the facts or data that go into their opinion.

17 So I'm asking you, specifically what
 18 facts or data went into that opinion?

19 **A. I just answered that question. Can**
 20 **I have my answer read back?**

21 Q. Well, you said that's the ten pages.
 22 That's not specific enough for me. So could you
 23 please be more specific.

24 MR. SCHUTTE: I'm going to object to

28

1 **docks, as an example. It would be more building-**
 2 **specific kind of things.**

3 BY MR. FOSTER:

4 Q. Okay. You then went on to say,
 5 Dr. Kaestner's damages methodology is therefore
 6 flawed because it is limited to an estimate of a
 7 wage differential and ignores several important
 8 factors that impact total labor costs, and it's
 9 incomplete.

10 You cited nothing to support that view.

11 Why not, at the end of that paragraph?

12 **A. That is my opinion, and it's based on**
 13 **all of the pages that follow. That's a summary of**
 14 **the opinion, and the support for that is on the**
 15 **pages that follow. So it is supported, but it's**
 16 **supported in more detail on the next ten or**
 17 **12 pages.**

18 Q. You didn't cite anything specific to
 19 support your conclusion there. Why not?

20 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.

21 Go ahead.

22 BY THE WITNESS:

23 **A. It's an opinion. I'm not sure what one**
 24 **would cite except all of the things that I cite**

27

1 form. Asked and answered.

2 Ms. Hosfield, you can try to do
 3 your best with answering the question again.

4 BY THE WITNESS:

5 **A. Look, I can go through the next**
 6 **ten pages, if you want specifics. You can either**
 7 **ask questions about it, or I'll just narrate for**
 8 **you, but it sounds like you're asking for a**
 9 **narration, so I will do that.**

10 **The first comment in there is**
 11 **productivity of contractor's labor force. So if**
 12 **you go to Page 91, I say, "Dr. Kaestner has not**
 13 **properly accounted for any of the potential**
 14 **differences in productivity between union and**
 15 **non-union labor that would impact the total cost of**
 16 **labor to Plaintiff and the putative class."**

17 BY MR. FOSTER:

18 Q. Okay. Could you stop there, sir. Let
 19 me just find where you are. You're on Page 9?

20 **A. Right. I'm in the very next sentence**
 21 **after you ended where I make a statement and then**
 22 **I give you --**

23 Q. Are you looking at topic heading
 24 number 1 on Page 9?

29

1 **A. Right. This is the beginning of the**
 2 **ten pages of specifics that you wanted that support**
 3 **that particular conclusion. So --**

4 **Q. I'm looking -- where were you reading**
 5 **from, just which sentence? "Dr. Kaestner has not**
 6 **properly accounted for," is that the topic heading**
 7 **No. 1?**

8 **A. It is.**

9 **Q. In bold?**

10 **A. Yes.**

11 **Q. Okay. That's fine.**

12 **A. Do you want me to go on, or do you want**
 13 **to ask questions?**

14 **Q. Let me ask you this, Mr. Hosfield. You**
 15 **say he has not properly accounted for any of the**
 16 **potential differences in productivity between union**
 17 **and non-union labor that would impact the total**
 18 **cost.**

19 He did, however, examine what he
 20 considered to be differences in productivity.

21 **A. No, he did not.**

22 **Q. He did, as I understand it, but the only**
 23 **thing he didn't look at is whether the workers are**
 24 **union versus non-union. Would you agree that he --**

30

1 "adjusts for differences in the age and education
 2 composition of union and non-union workers."
 3 Do you see that?

4 **A. I'm on Page 6. Page 6 starts with a**
 5 **table called Estimates of the Union Wage Premium by**
 6 **Occupation.**

7 **Q. All right. And if you go down to the**
 8 bottom of that table there, he explains the
 9 adjustments?

10 **A. Yeah, he is not adjusting for the**
 11 **productivity between union and non-union workers.**
 12 **He is attempting to adjust for various demographics**
 13 **that have nothing to do with productivity.**

14 **Q. Do you think those factors affect**
 15 productivity --

16 **A. I read the -- I see his report. I read**
 17 **the sentence you referred to, those demographics,**
 18 **and there's no demonstration that they have**
 19 **anything to do with productivity, nor did the study**
 20 **you referred to make such a suggestion. So --**

21 **Q. What --**

22 **A. No, he has not adjusted for the**
 23 **difference between union and non-union, and you**
 24 **have something --**

32

1 **A. And he -- go ahead.**

2 **Q. On Page 6, he cites in his chart the six**
 3 factors that he considered in which he believes
 4 affect productivity: Age, education, et cetera.

5 **MR. SCHUTTE: Are you asking him to look**
 6 at the Kaestner report?

7 And, Mark, take your time.

8 **MR. FOSTER: Let me finish, Scott.**

9 (Whereupon, Hosfield Exhibit 3
 10 was introduced.)

11 **BY MR. FOSTER:**

12 **Q. My question to you is, Isn't it true**
 13 **that he did consider the relevant factors affecting**
 14 **productivity, as he saw them?**

15 **A. Are you asking me to look at the**
 16 **Kaestner report?**

17 **Q. Yes.**

18 **A. Okay. I do have a copy of it here. And**
 19 **you're looking at Page 6?**

20 **Q. Correct.**

21 **A. And I don't see anywhere on this Page 6**
 22 **where he talks about anything having to do with**
 23 **productivity.**

24 **Q. Adjustment No. 1, if you look on Page 6,**

31

1 **Q. Mr. Hosfield, I think you're**
 2 interrupting me now. I thought you were done, I
 3 tried to get in a question, and you cut me off.

4 **A. Okay.**

5 **MR. SCHUTTE: Well, Howard, hold on.**
 6 You said you thought he was done, and you were
 7 wrong. He was finishing his answer. And now he's
 8 finished. You can ask your next question. I know
 9 this is difficult for everybody, but just let him
 10 finish his answer.

11 **MR. FOSTER: Yeah, I'm trying.**

12 **BY MR. FOSTER:**

13 **Q. Are you done, Mr. Hosfield, with your**
 14 answer?

15 **A. I suppose I am at this point, yes.**

16 **Q. You suppose. If you're not sure, you**
 17 can keep going.

18 **A. I would say that he has not done an**
 19 **adjustment for productivity between union**
 20 **productivity and non-union productivity, and he's**
 21 **done some kind of strange demographic comparison**
 22 **which has nothing to do with productivity. So --**

23 **Q. Are you done?**

24 **A. I am done with that answer.**

33

1 Q. Okay. So I take it then you are of the
 2 opinion that union labor is more productive than
 3 non-union labor in every case; is that correct?

4 A. **What do you mean by in every case?**

5 Q. In every case. Is a union contractor
 6 going to be more productive in completing the same
 7 job as a non-union firm?

8 A. **I don't know what you mean by in every
 9 case, so I'll answer it without the "in every
 10 case." I don't know what you mean by that.**

11 **I do know that the studies, some of
 12 which Dr. Kaestner cited, contain information in
 13 them that would -- and studies that demonstrate
 14 that union construction labor is generally more
 15 productive and more efficient than non-union, and
 16 while that productivity gap narrowed between the
 17 '70s and the early '80s, there is still a
 18 significant productivity advantage to using union
 19 labor that has not changed, according to the couple
 20 of studies I saw in there, in terms of their
 21 ability to get work done in less time and more
 22 efficiently than non-union labor.**

23 **That's also consistent with my
 24 experience in the industry that I used to manage.**

34

1 **And so that's the information that you would look
 2 at to determine if there's a reason for a wage gap,
 3 because as an economist, you would know that if
 4 there was no reason to hire higher-paid laborers,
 5 you would then hire the lower-paid laborers.**

6 Q. Are you done with your answer, sir?

7 A. **Yes.**

8 Q. So what I didn't hear from you is any
 9 definitive statement to the effect that union labor
 10 is more productive than non-union labor. Do you
 11 believe that?

12 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.

13 BY THE WITNESS:

14 A. **I believe that the studies -- I believe
 15 it's true because there have been studies that have
 16 shown that it's true, and the studies and my own
 17 experience tells me that it's true.**

18 BY MR. FOSTER:

19 Q. Are you done?

20 A. **Yes.**

21 Q. What studies are you referring to in
 22 your answer?

23 A. **Well, there's a couple of studies that
 24 are referenced in articles by a guy named Allen**

35

1 **that Dr. Kaestner took certain parts out of that
 2 but did not discuss the differences in
 3 productivity, that analyze why there is a wage gap
 4 between union and non-union labor and whether it
 5 could be explained by differences in productivity,
 6 among other things. Those are two of them.**

7 **And one was a study, and I think one was
 8 kind of a follow-up, and then there was another
 9 article that was cited by Dr. Kaestner that had
 10 some similar information demonstrating that union
 11 labor is more productive than non-union labor in
 12 the construction industry.**

13 Q. You read those two studies in
 14 conjunction with preparing your report for this
 15 case; is that correct?

16 A. **I read the articles, right.**

17 Q. You read them for the first time after
 18 you read Dr. Kaestner's report; is that correct?

19 A. **Those particular papers, yes.**

20 Q. So you had never in your entire career
 21 read any study about the productivity of union
 22 labor until you read those two articles; is that
 23 correct.

24 A. **No, that would not be correct. No.**

36

1 **I mean, it's an issue that you -- that's been
 2 studied quite a bit. So no, it's for purposes of
 3 this report, I looked at the studies Dr. Kaestner
 4 looked at and selected a few others, but I read
 5 studies and information coming to these same
 6 conclusions on productivity over the years.**

7 Q. Can you cite one that you've read over
 8 the years other than just describing one?

9 A. **I haven't kept track of them. There was
 10 one by Belman that was also in Dr. Kaestner's
 11 report that has a similar conclusion, but no,
 12 I haven't kept track of all the papers I've read
 13 that summarized studies showing that union labor is
 14 more productive than non-union labor.**

15 Q. Belman was also study that was cited by
 16 Dr. Kaestner in his report, right?

17 A. **I think I just said that, yes.**

18 Q. So it sounds like you read three
 19 articles after reading Dr. Kaestner's report to
 20 prepare your report in this case, all of which
 21 addressed this topic; is that correct?

22 A. **I did a lot -- I did some of my own
 23 research too, but I did read the studies that
 24 Dr. Kaestner read. One of the things I did was**

37

1 **read the studies that Dr. Kaestner cited to see
2 what they said and what the basis for the
3 conclusions were in the studies.**

4 Q. Okay. So let me take that apart piece
5 by piece, if I could.

6 You said you did your own work other
7 than reading these studies in preparing your report
8 on the question of the productivity of union labor.

9 What work did you do on that issue for
10 your report?

11 A. I know with respect to looking for
12 studies on my own, I think there are a couple that
13 he didn't look at that I've cited. But the first
14 place I started were to see if the studies he cited
15 and he considered authoritative address the issue
16 of union versus non-union productivity differences.

17 Q. So I see you looked at the ones that he
18 cited. I understand that.

19 What else did you look at?

20 A. Well, I looked at -- I did some of my
21 own research looking for articles that addressed
22 the topic as well.

23 Q. And what articles did you look at in
24 your own research?

38

1 A. I would have to go through my list and
2 compare it to what Dr. Kaestner's list had, to see
3 what he didn't look at.

4 Q. Your report doesn't cite any of those
5 articles; does it?

6 A. Well --

7 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.
8 Go ahead.

9 BY THE WITNESS:

10 A. I don't know that that's true, but
11 I would have to go back and look, I mean, to the
12 extent I found anything on productivity. There was
13 enough in the article that Dr. Kaestner found that
14 would demonstrate that there's a productivity
15 difference, but I would have to go look through my
16 Exhibit 2 and through his list of documents
17 considered and see what the differences are.

18 BY MR. FOSTER:

19 Q. Why don't you take a minute and do that,
20 because I would like you to tell me definitively
21 what you looked at, what you considered in coming
22 to this opinion that union labor is more productive
23 than non-union labor.

24 A. Okay.

39

1 Q. Okay? So let's just go ahead and look
2 at your exhibits, sir.

3 MR. SCHUTTE: Howard, while Mark is
4 doing this, can we confirm something? So I see Jim
5 is participating and I see Matt is participating.
6 There's somebody listed as Howard User 5.

7 (Whereupon, a discussion was
8 had off the record.)

9 BY THE WITNESS:

10 A. I think the only one that -- this is to
11 answer your question. The only one that I found
12 that I considered, or at least used -- I may have
13 found others, but the only one I used was the first
14 academic publication called The Fall and Future of
15 Unionism in Construction. That's one that he did
16 not cite.

17 I think the rest of those -- I had
18 enough in the article that he cited to talk about
19 unionism and wage differences and so forth. There
20 were a couple of other articles that I found, but
21 they didn't necessarily have to do with
22 productivity directly; they had to do with other
23 things.

24

40

1 BY MR. FOSTER:

2 Q. What page are you on, Mr. Hosfield, in
3 your report?

4 A. Exhibit 2.

5 Q. Right. What page is that? The pages
6 are numbered.

7 A. It should be the last page, the last
8 exhibit in the document. It's Page 1 of 2 for
9 Exhibit 2.

10 Q. Okay. And does that report that you
11 just cited come to a conclusion as to how much more
12 productive union labor is as to non-union labor?

13 A. I don't know if it does or not.
14 I haven't looked at it since I issued my report,
15 I don't think, so I don't recall whether it comes
16 to such a conclusion.

17 Q. And I know your report does not come to
18 a conclusion as to how much more productive union
19 labor is as to non-union labor, and I want to ask
20 you why not.

21 A. Well, my job was to discuss the report
22 and work that was done by Dr. Kaestner as to
23 whether it was reliable. My job was not to make up
24 for the deficiencies in his report by doing the

41

1 analysis and research that is required to support
 2 his opinions.

3 Q. But he didn't opine that union was more
 4 productive than non-union labor; you do, as I
 5 understand it. So I'm asking you, How much more
 6 productive is it?

7 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.

8 Go ahead.

9 BY THE WITNESS:

10 A. He opines as to the difference in wage
 11 rates, and my opinion is that he needed to consider
 12 the difference in productivity to determine the
 13 difference in costs that are damages in this case.

14 He hasn't measured the damages; he's
 15 measured something quite simple, which is the
 16 difference in wage rates between union and
 17 non-union. The way he went about doing that I
 18 disagree with and I think is unreliable, but he did
 19 attempt to measure the difference in the wages for
 20 union and non-union labor in the Chicago Loop.

21 BY MR. FOSTER:

22 Q. Are you done with your answer, sir?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Okay. You did not answer my question.

42

1 So I'm going to re-ask it.

2 Why did you not determine how much more
 3 productive union labor is than non-union labor in
 4 your report?

5 MR. SCHUTTE: That's asked and answered.
 6 Go ahead and answer it again.

7 BY THE WITNESS:

8 A. That was not my job. My task was to
 9 look at Dr. Kaestner's report and determine whether
 10 it was a reliable measure of the damages in this.
 11 And one of the reasons it is not, I came to the
 12 conclusion, is because he failed to consider the
 13 difference in productivity between union and
 14 non-union labor, among other things. It wasn't my
 15 job to go fill in the holes in his report, to do
 16 the things he failed to do.

17 BY MR. FOSTER:

18 Q. Could you please turn to Page 11 of your
 19 report, sir, the second paragraph, the paragraph
 20 that begins with "In fact, according to a study."
 21 "In regards to the productivity
 22 differential between union and non-union workers,
 23 a 2004 article stated that 'union workers are more
 24 productive than like workers in a non-union

43

1 environment.'

2 "In certain instances, the productivity
 3 difference is demonstrably large enough to overcome
 4 the wage differential."

5 I take it, then, you're not able to
 6 determine whether productivity differences in
 7 Chicago Loop buildings would be enough to overcome
 8 the wage differences that exist; is that correct?

9 MR. SCHUTTE: Objection to form.

10 Go ahead.

11 BY THE WITNESS:

12 A. I have not done a study of the Chicago
 13 Loop productivity for this type of worker or these
 14 types of workers. I think the Allen studies come
 15 closest because I think they focused on internal
 16 improvement, shopping centers, and things like
 17 that, as I recall. But no, I have not done a study
 18 to determine that.

19 And the study that was -- I think it was
 20 Belman, is it, where they say in certain instances
 21 they focused on productivity and demonstrated that
 22 it was one of the reasons for the wage
 23 differential, but I don't know that they identified
 24 any instances where there wasn't sufficient

44

1 productivity difference to overcome the wage
 2 differential.

3 BY MR. FOSTER:

4 Q. But you're not able to say that if class
 5 members used union labor in this particular case,
 6 it would cost less than non-union labor because of
 7 increased productivity; are you?

8 A. I don't know that it would cost less.
 9 I think that the cost would be probably about the
 10 same, would be my guess, given the way that the
 11 non-union -- given what non-union contractors may
 12 be bidding. But no, I haven't done a study to show
 13 that union labor costs less because of
 14 productivity.

15 Q. You said that you think it might be
 16 about even in the Chicago Loop. You didn't say
 17 that anywhere in your report. Why not?

18 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form. Asked and
 19 answered.

20 Go ahead.

21 BY THE WITNESS:

22 A. I'm just answering your questions.
 23 I think you can imply that through the studies and
 24 so forth in the report. But, again, I am analyzing

45

1 what Dr. Kaestner did or didn't do. I didn't use
 2 my report to fill in the holes in his report and
 3 make up for his failures.

4 BY MR. FOSTER:

5 Q. So you can't, sitting here today, say
 6 that any class member would not have been damaged
 7 if they used union labor because of productivity
 8 differences; is that correct?

9 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.

10 Go ahead.

11 BY THE WITNESS:

12 A. I don't think, based on Dr. Kaestner's
 13 work and my review of his work that you can say
 14 that any class member was or wasn't damaged. He
 15 just hasn't done the work to determine whether
 16 there were any damages or not.

17 BY MR. FOSTER:

18 Q. Do you have an opinion then as to
 19 whether non-union contractors could do the work in
 20 these Chicago Loop buildings at a lower cost than
 21 their union counterparts?

22 A. I don't think I understood that
 23 question.

24 Q. Could non-union firms do the work for

46

1 these class members, in these Jones Lang and
 2 LaSalle buildings, at the same cost as non-union
 3 firms?

4 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.
 5 Go ahead.

6 BY THE WITNESS:

7 A. I think you asked me about non-union and
 8 then at the same cost as non-union at the end of
 9 that.

10 BY MR. FOSTER:

11 Q. Do you understand my question, sir?

12 A. No. Try it one more time. I don't.

13 Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion as to
 14 whether the class members -- and do you know who
 15 the class members are in this case? What's --

16 A. We know one of them, and we know how
 17 they're described, yes.

18 Q. Okay. So do you have an opinion as to
 19 whether the class members would have saved money if
 20 they had been able to use non-union firms to do
 21 their build-outs in these buildings?

22 A. Well, I have seen nothing to demonstrate
 23 that that is true.

24 Q. But you don't come to any opinion that

47

1 it wouldn't have saved money; is that correct?

2 A. I've merely analyzed Dr. Kaestner's
 3 report. There's no evidence that they would have
 4 saved money -- that the class members, putative
 5 class, would have saved money if they had been
 6 allowed to use non-union labor in these buildings,
 7 but I haven't -- and Dr. Kaestner's report
 8 certainly doesn't support that theory.

9 Q. But if there were any -- if productivity
 10 didn't make up for the difference, wouldn't the
 11 cost of non-union labor be less?

12 A. Maybe or maybe not. It depends on what
 13 the non-union contractors decided to bid. If they
 14 knew that they had -- that the union contractors
 15 had to bid higher to cover their labor costs, then
 16 the non-union people would have bid closer to that
 17 so that they could make a higher profit if they
 18 really could. So there is no evidence that --

19 Q. Non-union laborers just can't do -- it's
 20 more competitive, and they don't have that much
 21 discretion in what they are bidding?

22 A. I don't know what you mean by that. Are
 23 you trying to tell me that contractors don't have
 24 any discretion on how much they bid for a project?

48

1 Q. I'm asking you. If it's in a really
 2 competitive market, wouldn't their discretion go
 3 down?

4 A. Well, that would be true across the
 5 board. No, in a competitive market -- I mean, if
 6 you think hypothetically in a market where somebody
 7 has a huge cost advantage -- and there's no
 8 demonstration that the non-union contractors have
 9 such a cost advantage because of the reasons cited
 10 in my report in part.

11 But if there were two entities that had
 12 a huge cost advantage, and you know one entity had
 13 to bid \$100,000 for a project, there's no reason
 14 for you to bid anything less than 95,000 or 98,000
 15 to get the work, unless that other contractor is
 16 better than you are or more productive.

17 Q. I'm sorry, sir. Are you done?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. You seem to assume that contractors can
 20 bid up to the price of union labor --

21 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.

22 BY MR. FOSTER:

23 Q. -- just a few dollars less, but that may
 24 not be the case. You have no data that show that,

49

1 productivity. Is it your opinion that none of
 2 those affect productivity?

3 **A. Point to me in his report where he**
 4 **identified six factors that he thought affected**
 5 **productivity.**

6 **Q.** We've been there before. Let's look
 7 again. Page 8. He adjusts for age, education --
 8 age, race, gender, and education. It's on Table 1,
 9 at the bottom of Table 1. He makes two
 10 adjustments.

11 **MR. SCHUTTE:** I think you said six. Are
 12 you just pointing to those four?

13 **MR. FOSTER:** Please don't interrupt.

14 **MR. SCHUTTE:** Well, you made such a big
 15 deal on the fact that it was six adjustments, and
 16 then he asked you to identify where, and you said
 17 four of them.

18 **MR. FOSTER:** May I ask a question,
 19 please.

20 Is the court reporter there?

21 **THE REPORTER:** I'm here.

22 **MR. FOSTER:** Can you hear me? I think
 23 we have a problem. I'm sorry, Nohemi, can you hear
 24 me?

54

1 **Q.** Excuse me. I may have missstated the
 2 number six. So that's why I have rephrased the
 3 question.

4 **A. Okay. Yeah, I don't -- first of all,**
 5 **I don't think this has -- these aren't the types of**
 6 **things that would have any big impact on**
 7 **productivity, but I don't know that -- I'm not of**
 8 **the opinion that age, race, gender has any impact**
 9 **on productivity necessarily.**

10 **I know that when I re-read that article**
 11 **the other day, that union workers by and large,**
 12 **their educational -- they had higher levels of**
 13 **education than the non-union workers do and than**
 14 **they used to.**

15 **So I know there have been some changes**
 16 **over time in these things, but I didn't -- this**
 17 **isn't an adjustment for productivity that covers**
 18 **training and skill levels and so forth; this is**
 19 **demographic information, and I'm not of the opinion**
 20 **that this is an adjustment for productivity, no.**

21 **Q.** So let me just take it one by one to
 22 make sure I'm right.

23 Are you saying that age does not have a
 24 bearing on productivity?

56

1 **THE REPORTER:** I can hear you.

2 **MR. FOSTER:** Okay. Nohemi? Let me
 3 re-ask the question. Okay?

4 **BY MR. FOSTER:**

5 **Q.** Dr. Kaestner identified various factors
 6 which he controlled for in accounting for
 7 productivity. They are listed at the bottom of
 8 Table 1. Adjustment 1 adjusts for the differences
 9 in age and education. Adjustment 2 affects for
 10 differences in the age, race, gender, and
 11 education.

12 Is it your opinion, Mr. Hosfield, that
 13 these factors do not have any effect on
 14 productivity of workers?

15 **A. I read the study, and I didn't see them**
 16 **as having impact. This is actually differences in**
 17 **composition. But I don't know that race has any**
 18 **difference in productivity. I didn't read the**
 19 **study and come to the conclusion that people, their**
 20 **race or gender had any impact in productivity or**
 21 **that age has it.**

22 **I think your question was that he**
 23 **identified six factors here, and I don't see the**
 24 **six factors, I never have. I have only seen four.**

55

1 **A. I have to go look at the article again,**
 2 **but I don't know that -- I can look at the article**
 3 **if you have it here.**

4 **Q.** What article are you referring to, sir?

5 **A. The article that he got this information**
 6 **out of.**

7 **Q.** What article is that?

8 **A. That's -- when I said I'm looking for**
 9 **the article, I will tell you when I find it. Hang**
 10 **on. Somewhere he came up with this --**

11 **Q.** Is it correct to say you're just
 12 mimicking what you're reading in the article, you
 13 have no basis for agreeing or disagreeing with
 14 this?

15 **MR. SCHUTTE:** Object to form.

16 **BY THE WITNESS:**

17 **A. It's not correct to say that.**

18 **BY MR. FOSTER:**

19 **Q.** What factors are you using --

20 **A. I'm trying to recall where he got the**
 21 **information he adjusted and what this came out of,**
 22 **and I don't see it cited in his report, so I**
 23 **probably have to go back to the table where he**
 24 **created it, which I don't have in front of me.**

57

1 Q. Okay.
 2 A. **My recollection is that the article**
 3 **talked about demographic information, and it had to**
 4 **do with union density or make-up but did not have**
 5 **to do with productivity.**

6 Q. Okay. But, sir, you didn't answer my
 7 question. So I'm going to come back and try and
 8 get you to answer it.

9 Are you of the opinion that age has no
 10 bearing on productivity?

11 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.

12 Go ahead.

13 BY THE WITNESS:

14 A. **I don't think you can adjust for**
 15 **productivity the way he's done it. I don't know**
 16 **whether age, because it's -- I don't know that**
 17 **that's true one way or the other.**

18 BY MR. FOSTER:

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. **Because as a union worker gets older,**
 21 **they get more experienced. So probably as you get**
 22 **older, you're more productive, I would say, as you**
 23 **get out of apprentice.**

24 I don't think the way he's adjusted this

58

1 **has anything to do with the productivity that needs**
 2 **to be considered, which is the training and the**
 3 **skill level that a person has in the particular**
 4 **occupation and the experience.**

5 **Certainly, gender and race, I don't**
 6 **believe, are things that would have any difference,**
 7 **but again, I recall this article -- unless you have**
 8 **the article, I recall this article having to do**
 9 **with population density within the unions and not**
 10 **having to do with productivity, but population**
 11 **density and how it's changed.**

12 BY MR. FOSTER:

13 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether age
 14 has any bearing on productivity?

15 A. **It might, and I don't know what it is,**
 16 **but I don't think the way he used the study**
 17 **information was appropriate in trying to adjust for**
 18 **it. It wouldn't be the largest factor that I would**
 19 **look at certainly. I just -- the study did not --**
 20 **as I recall, the study talked about population**
 21 **densities.**

22 Q. Do you have any opinion at all as to
 23 whether gender has a bearing on productivity?

24 A. **Again, I would have to look at the**

59

1 **article. I don't know that it does. No,**
 2 **I wouldn't expect it to have much of a productivity**
 3 **factor.**

4 Q. Is that based just on the article, or is
 5 this based on your experience?

6 A. **It's my expectation and my experience.**

7 Q. What experience do you have in measuring
 8 gender on productivity?

9 A. **I have not -- I haven't tried to measure**
 10 **productivity differences between genders.**

11 Q. Okay. What about education? Does
 12 education --

13 A. **The education in the --**

14 Q. -- have a bearing on productivity?

15 A. **The education in the article had little**
 16 **to do with productivity, well, maybe a slight**
 17 **thing. It would be -- nothing in here would have**
 18 **the same impact that the apprenticeship and**
 19 **training programs and the experience that you would**
 20 **get that a union would have.**

21 **So these are all -- to the extent there**
 22 **is any change, it's minor, but as I recall, there**
 23 **were more people in unions in the population**
 24 **density today that had a high school education or**

60

1 **higher than there were 20 years ago, is what the**
 2 **conclusion was.**

3 Q. Are you saying that education has no
 4 bearing on productivity?

5 A. **I'm saying it may or may not, but the**
 6 **study, as I recall, talked about population**
 7 **density.**

8 Q. Okay. All right. Moving on.

9 You said on the bottom of Page 9 that
 10 Dr. Kaestner makes no attempt to account for the
 11 differences in productivity attributable to whether
 12 laborers are union-affiliated or not. And to that,
 13 you cite to just your own opinion.

14 A. **Which page are you on?**

15 Q. I'm on Page 9 of your report?

16 A. **Okay.**

17 Q. The bottom of Page 9, last paragraph,
 18 and Dr. Kaestner makes no attempt to account for
 19 the differences in productivity attributable to
 20 whether laborers are union-affiliated or not.

21 My question to you is, what basis do you
 22 have that union members are more productive than
 23 non-union members?

24 A. **Well, we have already talked about this.**

61

1 You've already asked me about the studies that were
 2 recited in Dr. Kaestner's report and my own
 3 experience.

4 **Beyond the answer that I gave you the**
 5 **last time you asked me that question, I can't**
 6 **really add to that, I don't think. It's my**
 7 **experience, the studies I've looked at, my**
 8 **experience with construction and my own labor force**
 9 **at one point.**

10 Q. If you could turn the page, please, to
 11 Page 10. You say in the last paragraph, "The CPS
 12 dataset incompletely captures the human capital of
 13 workers because it does not include variables that
 14 measure training, such as certification or
 15 apprenticeship programs." And you've mentioned
 16 certification and apprenticeship here today a
 17 number of times.

18 How do you measure the affect of
 19 certification and apprenticeship on productivity?

20 A. **You would have to do a productivity**
 21 **study to determine whether -- people who have been**
 22 **through those programs and how much more productive**
 23 **those people are than people who have not been**
 24 **through those programs.**

62

1 Q. Have you done such a study?
 2 A. **No. I haven't been asked to. Again, my**
 3 **job here is not to make up for Dr. Kaestner's**
 4 **failures but to determine whether his report forms**
 5 **a reasonable basis for the measurement of the**
 6 **claimed damages in this matter.**

7 Q. You said on Page 12, the last paragraph,
 8 "Not only does the increase in productivity have a
 9 direct impact on wage differential, but it can also
 10 provide an indirect impact as well. For example,
 11 due to the increased productivity associated with
 12 union workers, a union employer can in turn use
 13 less workers to complete a job."

14 Do you have data to back that up?

15 A. **Well, that's a natural conclusion. So**
 16 **if you have more productive workers and it would**
 17 **take somebody else ten people to complete a job,**
 18 **and you're able to do it because your workers are**
 19 **more productive, you can complete it with nine**
 20 **people. You would save not only the wages of the**
 21 **tenth person, but you would save the FICA, the**
 22 **payroll taxes, the unemployment, the health**
 23 **insurance, all the other things, the union dues**
 24 **that go into the cost of a worker.**

63

1 **So it just follows, if you have more**
 2 **productive workers on a project that requires**
 3 **workers, you then can sometimes use less and save**
 4 **even more money.**

5 Q. Okay. I understand what you're saying.

6 Are you familiar with the concept of
 7 featherbedding?

8 A. **I generally know the idea, yeah.**

9 Q. The idea generally is that unions hire
 10 very often too many workers.

11 How does featherbedding then come into
 12 play vis-à-vis the productivity of union workers?

13 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.

14 Go ahead.

15 BY THE WITNESS:

16 A. **I think you're talking about a theory,**
 17 **and so you're asking how a theory impacts the**
 18 **productivity of union workers?**

19 BY MR. FOSTER:

20 Q. Yeah. That would be --

21 A. **Well, what the theory --**

22 Q. If unions tend to hire too many workers,
 23 then what you just said about their productivity
 24 gains would be lost or diminished because they've

64

1 got too many workers hired; isn't that true?

2 A. **Your question is a hypothetical that I**
 3 **don't believe is true in most situations because**
 4 **union contractors still have to compete with each**
 5 **other. And so if one did that, they would**
 6 **experience higher costs than the other, and they**
 7 **wouldn't get the work. So it economically doesn't**
 8 **make a lot of sense to work with that,**
 9 **hypothetically --**

10 Q. Don't you think there is such a thing as
 11 featherbedding?

12 A. **However --**

13 Q. Hold on. Let me --

14 A. **You've got to -- you've got to let me**
 15 **finish --**

16 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry.

17 BY MR. FOSTER:

18 Q. I'm sorry, Mr. Hosfield --

19 A. **-- especially when I'm still talking.**
 20 **If you're going to interrupt, do it when I'm**
 21 **paused, not when I'm talking.**

22 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't get
 23 most of that there. I didn't get that.

24 THE WITNESS: Yeah, you did get it?

65

1 THE REPORTER: No, I couldn't get it.
 2 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's what I
 3 thought. Okay.
 4 BY THE WITNESS:
5 A. If a union contractor is competing
6 another union contractor and one hires too many
7 employees -- which is a hypothetical. I'm not
8 aware of any evidence or studies in the Chicago
9 Loop that anyone has put forth in this case that
10 that's true. The company that was featherbedding
11 would not get there work because their costs would
12 be higher.

13 BY MR. FOSTER:

14 Q. Are you done with your answer?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. Are you saying you're not aware
 17 of any studies addressing the phenomenon of
 18 featherbedding, or you're not aware of any studies
 19 that have looked at in the Chicago Loop?

20 A. I'm aware that one of the articles
 21 mentioned that theory 30 years ago or 40 years ago
 22 when the unions were larger and more powerful.
 23 I have seen nothing that it would be true, nothing
 24 that suggests that that is true, that that theory

66

1 is still taking place today, and I have seen
 2 certainly nothing to be able to -- nothing that
 3 would suggest that it's taking place in the Chicago
 4 Loop in these office renovation projects.

5 MR. FOSTER: Okay. I would like to make
 6 an exhibit now, to introduce an exhibit, please.
 7 This is the exhibit, the Jones Lang and LaSalle
 8 document. It's a one-page document. Could we
 9 call this is Wacker Drive Executive Suite Exhibit
 10 No. 2, please.

11 (Whereupon, a discussion was
 12 had off the record.)
 13 (Whereupon, Hosfield Exhibit 2
 14 was introduced.)

15 BY MR. FOSTER:

16 Q. Mr. Hosfield, do you see the exhibit, a
 17 Jones Lang and LaSalle document?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. With a photograph on top?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. So this is a document that we
 22 introduced, and if you want to take a look at it,
 23 just read it briefly.

24 MR. SCHUTTE: Is this the document that

67

1 you produced about 6:00 last night?

2 MR. FOSTER: Yes, it is, and it's got a
 3 third bullet point on it.

4 BY MR. FOSTER:

5 Q. It says, "Union or non-union: This can
 6 result in a variance of up to 20 percent on cost
 7 numbers."

8 The article is about the construction of
 9 laboratories. And I'll let you read it if you
 10 want, and I would just like you to comment on it.

11 MR. SCHUTTE: Just for the record, I
 12 will lodge an objection. One, that this is not a
 13 document that he referred to in forming his
 14 opinion. Second, it's also a document that, for
 15 whatever reason, you didn't produce until last
 16 evening.

17 But subject to that, if you can go
 18 ahead and answer the question.

19 MR. FOSTER: Yeah, I would like to put
 20 on the record that you, Jones Lang LaSalle, never
 21 produced this to us. It was requested. We only
 22 found it a couple days ago. So we have that on the
 23 record.

24 MR. SCHUTTE: You found it a couple of

68

1 days ago, but you produced it last night at, like,
 2 6:00; is that right?

3 MR. FOSTER: Yeah, I think that's right.

4 And you never produced it to us in discovery.

5 MR. SCHUTTE: Well, I'm not sure it's
 6 responsive to anything, but we can take it up --

7 MR. FOSTER: I asked if Jones Lang and
 8 LaSalle had any estimates as to the difference
 9 between the costs of union and non-union labor.

10 MR. SCHUTTE: Within the construction of
 11 laboratories?

12 MR. FOSTER: Yeah.

13 MR. SCHUTTE: Okay.

14 MR. FOSTER: I think this falls within
 15 the request. If you can tell me why you didn't
 16 produce it, I'd like to know, but we don't have to
 17 have this dialogue now.

18 MR. SCHUTTE: But you can also, if you
 19 would, let's -- while Mark is looking at the
 20 article that he's looking at for the first time.

21 MR. FOSTER: Why don't we just be quiet
 22 and let him look at the article?

23 MR. SCHUTTE: I'm not going to be quiet
 24 because you asked me to, Howard. I'm going to ask

69

1 you, I'll put it on the record, you guys, please,
 2 if you're making an issue of whether this should
 3 have been produced, please send over the document
 4 request that you think it was responsive to,
 5 please.

6 MR. FOSTER: Okay. We will. I can do
 7 that.

8 BY MR. FOSTER:

9 Q. Mr. Hosfield, just let me know when
 10 you're ready to answer questions about this.

11 A. First time I've seen it, and while you
 12 guys were arguing, it was a little hard to read, so
 13 give me a minute.

14 Q. Of course.

15 A. Are you -- were there other pages to
 16 this and you're showing me one page, or is this the
 17 only page?

18 Q. It's the only page.

19 A. Okay. All right. I have read it.

20 Q. So my only question is, it says, "Union
 21 or non-union: This can result in a variance of up
 22 to 20 percent on cost numbers." That's what Jones
 23 Lang and LaSalle has said in this document.

24 Do you have any opinion about that?

70

1 A. I'm not sure where they got this. It
 2 has to do with laboratory construction, but they
 3 say this can result, so it may not, and it's up to
 4 20 percent. So it may be at 1 percent if it does
 5 result. So that's a general statement saying
 6 something may or may not happen. So that's what
 7 I see here.

8 Q. Okay.

9 A. This is not based on any kind of a study
 10 or anything that would allow you to determine if
 11 it's commercial office building renovations and
 12 moving, that the cost of union labor is higher than
 13 the cost of non-union labor. It doesn't seem to
 14 factor into any productivity factors or anything
 15 like that in here as well.

16 It's just a -- we don't know whether it
 17 just relates to the wage rate or whether it relates
 18 to the actual productivity of the labor or the cost
 19 of the project.

20 Q. Okay. Do you think that economists can
 21 differ as to how to measure productivity?

22 A. I have seen economists differ on how to
 23 measure almost anything.

24 Do people differ in how to measure

71

1 actual productivity on a construction project? Not
 2 that I have seen, no.

3 If you're an economist trying to
 4 theoretically measure changes or differences in
 5 productivity, yeah, I'm sure they all have their
 6 own ideas and their own models and their own
 7 variables, and so forth, but there's a standard way
 8 to measure in the construction industry actual
 9 productivity, actual progress for labor cost.

10 Q. Right. And I asked you about that, and
 11 you couldn't come up with a single source for where
 12 you got that standard from, a book, a manual,
 13 right?

14 A. I don't recall what the answer was, but
 15 I remember you trying to get me to say -- your
 16 theory was that in order for something to be true,
 17 somebody must have written it in the book or an
 18 article or some economist must have written about
 19 it in a book or an article.

20 That's just the way you measure
 21 productivity. That's the standard way it's done in
 22 the industry. It's the way it's always been done.

23 Q. Okay.

24 A. I mean, it's just -- I'm sure there's an

72

1 article. In fact, it's possible I wrote a book a
 2 number of years ago where I think I probably
 3 suggested or talked about measuring productivity in
 4 that way, and I know other people in the
 5 construction industry have written about it.

6 It just didn't seem like -- it was so
 7 obvious to me and such standard industry practice,
 8 it didn't seem like anyone would be so worried
 9 about it that they'd want to see it written in a
 10 book.

11 Q. All right. Give me the title of the
 12 book that you are referring to that you wrote?

13 A. I'm contributing author to Proving and
 14 Pricing Construction Claims. There was two
 15 editions of it. I don't remember which -- one of
 16 them, I believe, had to do with productivity, and
 17 the other one had to do with something else. I
 18 just don't remember.

19 Q. Can you turn to Page 13, please, of your
 20 report. The last paragraph, the second sentence
 21 says, "Instead, a bid on a project will reflect a
 22 blended mix of anticipated labor costs, materials
 23 costs, overhead, and a profit margin that will vary
 24 depending upon project-specific considerations."

73

1 The citation for that is the deposition
 2 of Stephen Zsigray, Jones Lang and LaSalle's man.
 3 **A. He -- I believe he's a -- he's a --**
 4 **Q. Do you have an authority for that**
 5 statement in your report?
 6 **A. He's an employee of Jones Lang LaSalle.**
 7 **Q. Yeah, I deposed him, and --**
 8 **A. I think you asked him the question for**
 9 **which he gave that answer. It was important enough**
 10 **to ask him the question, and that's the answer he**
 11 **gave.**
 12 **Q. And that's your answer too, right, word**
 13 **for word? You just took it from him. I'm asking**
 14 **why.**
 15 **A. It's not word for word, and I think the**
 16 **entire paragraph -- you have taken one sentence out**
 17 **of a paragraph. That paragraph is a discussion of**
 18 **a contractor's bidding, the bidding process that**
 19 **takes place when a contractor is bidding, either**
 20 **union or non-union.**
 21 **I did cite something from a question you**
 22 **asked him and his answer to a question you asked**
 23 **him in terms of what he understands, because**
 24 **I think it's consistent with what I understand, the**

74

1 **considerations of a contractor during bidding, but**
 2 **the entire paragraph speaks for itself.**
 3 **Q. You don't cite anything else to support**
 4 **that. So I'm asking what facts or data other than**
 5 **Mr. Zsigray's deposition did you use in writing**
 6 **that?**
 7 **A. My skills, knowledge, education,**
 8 **training, and experience.**
 9 **Q. Okay. But then, well, it's kind of**
 10 **vague to me. You say it's a blended mix of**
 11 **anticipated -- you've got several factors, but you**
 12 **don't tell us how much each factor comes into play**
 13 **here in this. There's no formula.**
 14 **A. It varies --**
 15 **Q. Why not?**
 16 **A. That's true, I don't, and it's because**
 17 **it varies on various -- each project is different.**
 18 **It varies on spot project-specific considerations.**
 19 **You can't really generalize a number and say here**
 20 **is how it's done every time; it's done differently**
 21 **on every project, depending on the project**
 22 **considerations and needs. It's an individualized**
 23 **thing.**
 24 **Q. Okay. So you're saying each project is**

75

1 different, right?
 2 **A. There are differences between each**
 3 **project, that's correct.**
 4 **Q. Okay. Does that mean the cost of**
 5 **materials will vary from project to project in the**
 6 **overall costs?**
 7 **A. The cost of materials may vary, and the**
 8 **type of material used, for example, will affect the**
 9 **labor costs depending on the amount of labor hours**
 10 **that's required.**
 11 **Q. Okay. Are you able to say overall that**
 12 **the materials typically account for a certain**
 13 **percentage of the cost of a construction project?**
 14 **A. That would be impossible to do.**
 15 **It depends on what they're doing. It could be a**
 16 **very large percentage, and it could be a very small**
 17 **percentage. It just depends on what's being done.**
 18 **MR. FOSTER: I'd like to turn now, if we**
 19 **could, to another exhibit. So this is a group**
 20 **exhibit, Wacker Drive number 41.**
 21 **Court Reporter, do you have the**
 22 **other -- I think there's only one remaining**
 23 **exhibit.**
 24 **THE REPORTER: That's the first one that**

76

1 **was shared, if everybody can click on that.**
 2 **(Whereupon, Hosfield Exhibit 4**
 3 **was presented to the witness.)**
 4 **BY MR. FOSTER:**
 5 **Q. Mr. Hosfield, could you just let me know**
 6 **when you've got this exhibit in front of you.**
 7 **A. Yeah, let me --**
 8 **Q. There are a number of pages here.**
 9 **A. Yeah, let me take a look at it. I've**
 10 **got it in front of me.**
 11 **Q. You can take a look at it. Let me know**
 12 **when you're more familiar with it.**
 13 **MR. SCHUTTE: I would just object that**
 14 **the exhibit is not complete.**
 15 **MR. FOSTER: Okay.**
 16 **BY THE WITNESS:**
 17 **A. All right. I have looked at it.**
 18 **BY MR. FOSTER:**
 19 **Q. Okay. So I'd like to start you first to**
 20 **turn to Page 18 of your report, please.**
 21 **A. Yes.**
 22 **Q. And what you say in Page 18 is that**
 23 **you're not able to itemize -- sorry. Hold on. The**
 24 **last three words on Page 17 and continuing the**

77

1 words to Page 18, I'm going to read that sentence
 2 to you.

3 It says, "Based on the limited data
 4 contained in the invoices for moving and
 5 construction produced by WDES, it is not
 6 practicable to identify and exclude on a classwide
 7 basis any non-union labor potential class members
 8 used for their projects during the alleged damages
 9 period."

10 So essentially what you're saying is
 11 that you can't figure out what type of labor was
 12 used. So you couldn't do what Dr. Kaestner wanted
 13 to do. Are you with me so far?

14 **A. Well, first of all, that paragraph is a
 15 secondary paragraph. The paragraph on Page 17
 16 before that is what talks about really the other
 17 important part of that bill.**

18 **But I don't know what Kaestner wanted to
 19 do. So when you ask me a question that
 20 Dr. Kaestner couldn't do what he wanted to do, I
 21 have no idea what he wanted to do and didn't do it.**

22 Q. Okay.

23 A. I know what he didn't do. I don't know
 24 whether he wanted to do it or not.

78

1 Q. Are you done? Are you done with your
 2 speaking?

3 A. I am now.

4 Q. Okay. I think that the point that
 5 you're making, it's also made a little more clearly
 6 on Page 19, and it says -- if you want to look at
 7 Page 19 of your report, second paragraph:

8 "Each type of labor performed would need
 9 to fit into one of his specified categories of
 10 labor listed in Table 1 of his report (i.e.,
 11 carpenter, electrician, drywaller, plumber,
 12 laborer, installer, operating engineer, or mover).

13 "This is not a straightforward process,
 14 and even Dr. Kaestner could not determine which
 15 category of Table 1 corresponded to the cost for
 16 general contracting fees."

17 Now, I would like you to please turn to
 18 our group exhibit. The first document in that
 19 group exhibit is Wacker Drive number 41. Do you
 20 see that?

21 A. Where am I looking at?

22 Q. You were handed a group exhibit.

23 A. Oh, I'm sorry. You're talking about the
 24 Bates number?

79

1 Q. Yeah, at the bottom right-hand corner --
 2 A. I see it. Okay.

3 Q. -- of the first document in the pile,
 4 it's Bates numbered Wacker Drive 000041.

5 A. Right.

6 Q. Okay. Now, if you could look at this
 7 document. This is entitled Sworn Statement For
 8 Contractor and Subcontractor to Owner?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. This was prepared on September 14, 2014
 11 by Ostrander Construction with regard to Wacker
 12 Drive Executive Suites' work in building out its
 13 space in 2014. And the significance of this is
 14 that Ostrander listed the subcontractors and the
 15 type of work that each one did on this document,
 16 and that's what I want you to look at.

17 A. Where are you looking where they did
 18 that?

19 Q. Okay. So, for example, we have
 20 Ostrander, and then it says Carpentry.

21 A. Right.

22 Q. Then we have Break Thru Enterprises,
 23 Demo, which I guess just means demolition.

24 Then the third one is Epic Building

1 Group, and it's a contractor for flooring. And
 2 there's a specific amount for each one of these
 3 types of labor.

4 So my question to you is would that make
 5 it easier for Dr. Kaestner to figure out the type
 6 of labor that was used in this particular project?

7 A. I don't understand your question, but
 8 you also have an incorrect premise. What's listed
 9 there is not labor; that's the total contract cost.
 10 That would be a cost of everything that went into
 11 this.

12 Q. Okay.

13 A. That would be labor, that would be
 14 materials, that would be equipment rentals, that
 15 would be overhead, that would be everything else.
 16 So that isn't the cost of labor for any of these
 17 people.

18 Q. Assuming you're right about that for the
 19 moment, though, it is the cost charge for a
 20 subcontractor who performed a particular type of
 21 labor; isn't that correct?

22 MR. SCHUTTE: Objection --
 23 BY THE WITNESS:

24 A. Well, it's Ostrander who is the general

80

1 or if they had subcontractors in there or equipment
 2 suppliers, it would be the cost charged for
 3 certain -- to accomplish something.
 4 And it's not -- no, it's not for the
 5 labor; it's for everything that went into doing
 6 what was needed to be done: The materials, the
 7 equipment rentals, the overhead that they charged,
 8 the profit they charged, everything would be in
 9 there. So that isn't what --

10 BY MR. FOSTER:

11 Q. Okay. If you look at the first line, it
 12 says Ostrander Carpentry, and there's a number?

13 A. Right.

14 Q. Isn't that number related to the work it
 15 did for carpentry?

16 A. Well, carpentry is the designation of
 17 the category of work that was being done. That
 18 would include materials, if the materials were
 19 needed; if you're using steel studs, it would be
 20 the steel; if you're using wooden, which they don't
 21 use a lot in the buildings anymore. It would be
 22 wood. It would be sheeting, it would be the
 23 equipment rental, it would be all those things that
 24 they charged for in that \$18,372 cost amount for

82

1 that contract.

2 Q. Yeah, I understand what you're saying.

3 Okay. Assuming you're right about that, it's still
 4 related to the work for carpentry, correct?

5 A. It's the contract to accomplish the
 6 carpentry work and all the costs that go into that,
 7 yes.

8 Q. Okay. So why didn't you consider
 9 that --

10 A. Including the profit.

11 Q. -- when you were writing your report and
 12 you were saying it was not possible to determine
 13 the type of labor that was being used here?

14 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.
 15 Go ahead.

16 BY THE WITNESS:

17 A. How could you determine the type of
 18 labor that was being used for each one of these
 19 people? They could have used different classes of
 20 labor. I think even Dr. Kaestner would have --

21 BY MR. FOSTER:

22 Q. Now, if you move on to the next one, the
 23 next one is demolition, the next one is flooring,
 24 the next one is ceilings, and so on down the list?

83

1 A. Right.

2 Q. Doesn't that help?

3 A. Not really. I mean --

4 Q. Why not?

5 A. Who did the carpentry? Which classes of
 6 people were involved in that? Which classes of
 7 people were involved in the ceilings? Was it --

8 Q. Well, wasn't flooring --

9 A. How many laborers, how many installers?

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. Drywallers, carpenters, who was in
 12 Ostrander Construction's group there? You can't
 13 tell me that, and neither could he. He admitted
 14 that in his deposition. He had no idea.

15 Q. But we know what type of labor was
 16 generally used.

17 A. No, you don't. You're guessing.

18 Q. You don't?

19 A. No. You're guessing. You would have to
 20 know who they used on that project.

21 Q. Why are you guessing, I'm saying, if it
 22 says carpentry? Why can't we assume that they used
 23 carpenters?

24 A. Why would you -- you would have to look

84

1 at the carpentry contract and see what was in that.
 2 Did the carpentry contract also include cabinet
 3 installers, did it include laborers, did it include
 4 the installers, did it include carpenters, did it
 5 include drywallers? What was in there?

6 Q. Okay. Suppose you did that, would that
 7 help?

8 A. Suppose you did what?

9 Q. You looked at the contract. Would that
 10 help?

11 A. No. You would actually have to look
 12 at -- yeah, that would tell you what the scope of
 13 the work was. You would have to look at the cost
 14 records to determine who spent what time on it and
 15 how many hours if you wanted to try and shoehorn
 16 the people into Dr. Kaestner's categories.

17 Q. Well, why don't we look at the next one
 18 here, Wacker Drive 50 in the group exhibit.

19 A. Well, I don't know what the next --

20 Q. Okay. If you could look down on the
 21 Contractor's Affidavit part.

22 A. Okay.

23 Q. It says Connor Electric Services for
 24 electrical, \$1,895. Do you see that?

85

1 A. **Yes.**
 2 Q. Doesn't that indicate to us that Connor
 3 Electric Services used electricians?
 4 A. **Probably, for part of that work. They**
 5 **might have also used laborers. I mean, if you look**
 6 **at the electrical, you don't know whether that's**
 7 **\$1,000 for materials and 895 for labor, or whether**
 8 **it's \$50 for labor and \$1840 for materials. You**
 9 **just have no idea. This is a contract price,**
 10 **including change orders and everything else that**
 11 **took place.**

12 Q. Okay. I hear you. I --

13 A. **By the way, this isn't even a contract;**
 14 **this is one bill for one period of time associated**
 15 **with the full contract for Connor Electrical**
 16 **Services.**

17 **So if you look at Connor Electrical,**
 18 **they had a bill for \$15,000. This is just one bill**
 19 **for part of the material and overhead and labor and**
 20 **everything else. It is a progress billing.**

21 **This isn't necessarily related to**
 22 **billing. It's just related to how much was done on**
 23 **progress during that time period and so how much**
 24 **they were allowed to bill.**

86

1 **who else they had involved. And we don't know how**
 2 **much of this was actually labor, period.**

3 Q. That \$15,000 contract that you're
 4 referring to, are you looking at another document
 5 right now, Mr. Hosfield?

6 A. **I'm looking at the document that you**
 7 **just showed me earlier. If you would recall, you**
 8 **were reading down the list of what people did, and**
 9 **you get to Connor Electric and their bill -- their**
 10 **contract was \$15,200.**

11 Q. Okay.

12 A. **And what you're showing me here on a**
 13 **contractor's affidavit is a final waiver of a lien**
 14 **for -- and this would be likely the final bill for**
 15 **Connor Electrical Services for the work that done**
 16 **in the \$15,200 contract.**

17 Q. I see. I see. Okay.

18 So you're saying this doesn't change
 19 your opinion at all about the ability to determine
 20 the type of labor used on this particular job?

21 A. **Of course not. I mean, you're showing**
 22 **me something -- I've seen thousands of these in my**
 23 **career. I know exactly what's in these. I know**
 24 **what kind of bills get submitted, you know, a**

88

1 Q. Are you done with your answer?

2 A. **Yes, for now.**

3 Q. Okay. Doesn't this help us identify the
 4 type of labor that was used, electricians? That's
 5 all I'm asking you. And I understand your point
 6 about materials, but in terms of the type of
 7 labor --

8 A. **You wouldn't know that they were all**
 9 **electricians doing this work, because this**
 10 **particular work at the end of a project could have**
 11 **been Connor Electrical's cost to carry out**
 12 **equipment. They could have -- I mean, it's**
 13 **depending on whether the contract was billed on a**
 14 **percentage of completion or a progress billing or**
 15 **on a milestone basis.**

16 **You have no idea what's in that 1895.**

17 **It could be all equipment rental, or it could be**
 18 **cleanup, it could be laborers taking things out at**
 19 **the end a project. You have no idea what that is.**

20 Q. So you don't think that there were any
 21 electricians involved?

22 A. **I think that Connor Electric Services**
 23 **had electricians involved in their \$15,000**
 24 **contract. We don't know how much. We don't know**

87

1 **general contractor submits when they are submitting**
 2 **a bill for work, and I know what backup is. And**
 3 **none of it would allow you to tell what type of**
 4 **labor was working on it, how much the labor worked**
 5 **on it, how much was material, what the mix of hours**
 6 **between the two. There's nothing here that will**
 7 **help you with that. It's pure --**

8 Q. Are you done -- are you done talking?
 9 I'm sorry. Are you done with your answer?

10 A. **It's pure guesswork. The only thing you**
 11 **could look at would be the actual cost records of**
 12 **the contractors that would demonstrate what costs**
 13 **went into the cost, their billing.**

14 **You have to remember these bills aren't**
 15 **the costs. They are certainly not the cost of**
 16 **labor, and they're not the cost to Connor Electric.**

17 **That's how much they're billing for work that gets**
 18 **done. Their cost may be \$12,000, and it would be a**
 19 **mix of equipment and labor and rental of equipment,**
 20 **labor, materials, overhead, all of those things**
 21 **would be in there, but these aren't cost numbers;**
 22 **these are billing numbers.**

23 Q. I didn't ask you if they were cost
 24 numbers.

89

1 I said, Are these billing numbers for,
 2 among others, the labor that was used?
 3 **A. Well, I could have sworn you said are**
 4 **these cost numbers for labor that it was used. If**
 5 **you meant to say billing, that's fine.**

6 **No, the billing numbers would include**
 7 **labor that was used if there was labor used; you**
 8 **just don't know how much or which type of labor it**
 9 **was.**

10 Q. Okay. Doesn't this tell you which type
 11 of labor it was?

12 A. You've asked me that question. We have
 13 gone through this about three times now. No, it
 14 does not tell you what type of labor it was.

15 **It tells you what type of work that**
 16 **contractor was doing, but it doesn't say what type**
 17 **of labor they were using to do the contract.**

18 Q. What's the difference?

19 A. I don't even know how to answer that.
 20 It's obvious, I think. I mean, well, what's the
 21 difference between what?

22 Q. Okay. If you look at the last one, 68.

23 A. The last page?

24 Q. Yeah, of this group exhibit, records on

90

1 labor such that if it appeared in the CPS survey so
 2 we know what the typical wage for that type of
 3 labor is. That's what I mean by type of labor.

4 So I'd like you to explain to me what
 5 you mean by scope of labor?

6 A. I never said scope of labor.

7 Q. I think you did.

8 A. I said scope of --

9 MR. SCHUTTE: He said scope of work or
 10 scope of project.

11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I did. I never said
 12 scope of labor.

13 BY MR. FOSTER:

14 Q. Okay. Well, let's be clear about this.

15 You're saying that this document reflects scope of
 16 project? Am I saying it correctly? If I'm not,
 17 please state it correctly.

18 A. This says names and addresses of the
 19 contractor, and it tells you what for, what was
 20 that contractor contracted to do, what was the
 21 scope of their project.

22 The scope of their construction's
 23 project was demolition, carpentry, and drywall.
 24 Demolition could include operating engineers, it

92

1 68.

2 A. Right.

3 Q. Contractor's Affidavit?

4 A. Right.

5 Q. And, for example, Bear Construction
 6 listed, what for? Demolition, carpentry, and
 7 drywall. Those are the types of work that they
 8 did.

9 A. Right.

10 Q. Doesn't that tell us what type of labor
 11 their construction did on that job?

12 A. It tells you the scope of their work.
 13 It does not tell you which type of laborers they
 14 used to accomplish that work.

15 Q. What is the difference -- I'm just not
 16 understanding it between the scope of labor as you
 17 just said it and the type of labor as I'm saying
 18 it.

19 A. Well, the type of labor as you're saying
 20 it, I assume, is what Dr. Kaestner's categories he
 21 created. Is that correct?

22 Q. Well, I'm just saying that, yeah, the
 23 type of labor, right, would be something like along
 24 the lines of the type of particular union trade

91

1 can include laborers.

2 Drywall, can include laborers, it can
 3 include carpenters, it can include drywallers.

4 Carpentry can include laborers and
 5 carpenters and anybody else they may need to do
 6 some of the work on there.

7 So the type of labor, the labor
 8 categories Dr. Kaestner is using, some of those
 9 labor categories maybe included in there, some may
 10 not, we don't know, because if you're doing
 11 demolition, for example, you could be using any
 12 number of people. It could be carpenters. It
 13 could be laborers. You could be using installers
 14 to essentially uninstall cabinetry and so forth.
 15 It depends on how you want to do it.

16 Carpentry, you certainly don't use
 17 carpenters to do all of the work that needs to get
 18 done that's carpentry related because the laborers
 19 are less expensive. So you would use laborers to
 20 do the hauling and moving and so forth. So no, you
 21 cannot tell on these categories what types of work
 22 they used.

23 Q. And what authority do you have that
 24 supports what you're saying, that the scope of the

93

1 BY MR. FOSTER:

2 Q. That's what I'm asking. Are you aware
3 of any such case?4 A. **No, I'm not aware of any of cases one**
5 **way or the other, but what I'm saying here is there**
6 **isn't a one-to-one correspondence where rent is**
7 **increased by the amount of the TIA allowance.**8 Q. On Page 22, at the end of the page you
9 say: Mr. Raisher's opinion that a TIA is
10 essentially a loan from the landlord for additional
11 allowance that the tenant is required to pay back
12 over the life of their lease term, and that a TIA
13 is 'added to the total rent due at an interest rate
14 the landlord charges and divided by the number of
15 months in the lease term is, quote "unreliable."

16 Why is it unreliable?

17 A. **Well, it's true -- it's not necessarily**
18 **true, for one thing, as we just discussed. There's**
19 **no correspondence -- there's no one-to-one**
20 **correspondence I have ever seen between the amount**
21 **of the tenant improvement allowance and some**
22 **additional rent that's charged. That's not the --**23 Q. Well, let's just say you couldn't come
24 up with a single instance of where a landlord

98

1 allowance that has been agreed to by a landlord in
2 the Chicago Loop that was not amortized as rent?3 A. **Amortized as rent?**

4 Q. Yes.

5 A. **You couldn't even do that from an**
6 **accounting standpoint. There's no such thing as**
7 **amortizing a tenant improvement allowance as rent.**
8 **That's not how -- amortization is an accounting**
9 **concept that has nothing to do with the rent.**10 Q. Passed on to the tenant as rent, let's
11 put it that way.12 A. **I am not aware of any tenant improvement**
13 **allowances where the rent was increased based on a**
14 **negotiated tenant improvement allowance or**
15 **decreased based on a decreased tenant improvement**
16 **allowance. I have never heard of that happening in**
17 **my experience, never seen an example of that**
18 **happening.**19 MR. FOSTER: Okay. All right. I have
20 no further questions.21 MR. SCHUTTE: We have no questions. And
22 we'll reserve signature.23 THE REPORTER: How soon do you need the
24 transcript?

100

1 didn't amortize the TIA; is that correct?

2 A. **No. You never asked me that question.**3 Q. Are you aware of any transaction in the
4 Chicago Loop where a landlord did not amortize a
5 TIA into the rent?

6 MR. SCHUTTE: Object to form.

7 BY THE WITNESS:

8 A. **I am not aware of a single situation**
9 **where a landlord amortized the TIA into the rent.**
10 **I've never heard of that happening. And that's not**
11 **how amortization works. You don't amortize**
12 **anything into the rent.**

13 BY MR. FOSTER:

14 Q. Have you had occasion to look at any
15 particular lease transaction in the Chicago Loop?16 A. **I don't know what you mean by look at**
17 **any particular lease transactions.**18 Q. Have you been part of them where this
19 has come up?20 A. **Where what has come up? I don't know**
21 **what you're asking me. It's kind of -- you're**
22 **asking me some general questions without any real**
23 **subject.**

24 Q. Are you aware of any particular tenant

99

1 MR. FOSTER: We need it.

2 THE REPORTER: How about you, Scott?

3 MR. SCHUTTE: I'll take it in the
4 ordinary delivery time.5 FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NAUGHT.
6 (WHEREUPON, the deposition was
7 concluded at 1:15 p.m. CST.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

101

DEPOSITION OF MARK HOSFIELD

3 W A C K E R D R I V E E X E C U T I V E)
S U I T E S , L L C , o n b e h a l f o f)
4 i t s e l f , i n d i v i d u a l l y , a n d o n)
b e h a l f o f a l l o t h e r s)
5 s i m i l a r l y s i t u a t e d ,)
6 P l a i n t i f f s ,)
7 v s .) N o . 1 : 1 8 - c v - 5 4 9 2)
8 J O N E S L A N G L A S A L L E A M E R I C A S)
(I L L I N O I S) , L P ,)
9 D e f e n d a n t .)

11 I, MARK HOSFIELD, being first
12 administered an oath, certify that I am the
13 deponent in the aforesaid deposition taken remotely
on July 28, 2020; that I have read the foregoing
transcript of my deposition, and affix my signature
to same.

14

15

16

M A R K H O S F I E L D

17

18

19

19 Subscribed and sworn to
before me this ____ day
20 of _____, 2020.

21

22

N o t a r y P u b l i c

23

24

E R R A T A S H E E T

C A S E N A M E : W A C K E R D R I V E v s . J O N E S L A N G L A S A L L E
C A S E N U M B E R : 1 : 1 8 - c v - 5 4 9 2
W I T N E S S : M A R K H O S F I E L D
R E P O R T E R : N o h e m i S a l a z a r P i t t s

I w i s h t o m a k e t h e f o l l o w i n g c h a n g e s f o r t h e f o l l o w i n g
r e a s o n s :

P A G E L I N E

----- C H A N G E : -----

R E A S O N : -----

----- C H A N G E : -----

R E A S O N : -----

----- C H A N G E : -----

R E A S O N : -----

----- C H A N G E : -----

R E A S O N : -----

----- C H A N G E : -----

R E A S O N : -----

----- C H A N G E : -----

R E A S O N : -----

----- C H A N G E : -----

R E A S O N : -----

----- C H A N G E : -----

R E A S O N : -----

----- C H A N G E : -----

R E A S O N : -----

P A G E L I N E

----- C H A N G E :

R E A S O N :

----- C H A N G E :

R E A S O N :

----- C H A N G E :

R E A S O N :

----- C H A N G E :

R E A S O N :

----- C H A N G E :

R E A S O N :

----- C H A N G E :

R E A S O N :

----- C H A N G E :

R E A S O N :

In accordance with Supreme Court Rule 207(a), the above corrections are made to correct an error in the reporting or transcription of my answer(s).

Signed: -----

Date: -----

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)

) SS:

2 COUNTY OF COOK)

3

4 I, NOHEMI SALAZAR PITTS, a Certified
5 Shorthand Reporter within and for the County of Cook
6 County and State of Illinois, do hereby certify that
7 heretofore, to-wit, on July 28, 2020, appeared before
8 me remotely, MARK HOSFIELD, in a cause now pending and
9 undetermined IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION,
11 wherein WACKER DRIVE EXECUTIVE SUITES, LLC, on behalf
12 of itself, individually, and on behalf of all others
13 similarly situated are the Plaintiffs, and JONES LANG
14 LASALLE AMERICAS (ILLINOIS), LP is the Defendant.

15 I further certify that the said MARK
16 HOSFIELD was first administered an oath to testify the
17 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in
18 the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by
19 said witness was reported stenographically by me in
20 the presence of the said witness, and afterwards
21 reduced to typewriting by Computer-Aided
22 Transcription, and the foregoing is a true and correct
23 transcript of the testimony so given by said witness
24 as aforesaid.

1 I further certify that the signature to
2 the foregoing deposition was reserved by counsel for
3 the respective parties and that there were present at
4 the deposition the attorneys hereinbefore mentioned.

5 I further certify that I am not counsel
6 for nor in any way related to the parties to this
7 suit, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome
8 thereof.

9 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF: I certify to the
10 above facts this day of July 31, 2020.

11
12 
13

NOHEMI SALAZAR PITTS, CSR

LICENSE NO.: 084-4648

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

VERBALTECH INC.
3013 WEST 54th STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60632

July 31, 2020

MR. SCOTT T. SCHUTTE
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
77 West Wacker Drive, 5th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60601

CASE NAME: WACKER DRIVE vs. JONES LANG LASALLE
CASE NUMBER: 1:18-cv-5492
WITNESS: MARK HOSFIELD
REPORTER: Nohemi Salazar Pitts

Dear Mr. Schutte:

Enclosed is the deposition transcript for the aforementioned deponent in the above-entitled cause. Also enclosed are additional signature pages, if applicable, and errata sheets.

Per your agreement to secure signature, please submit the transcript to the deponent for review and signature. All changes or corrections must be made on the errata sheets, not on the transcript itself. Errata sheets should be signed and signature pages need to be signed and notarized.

After the deponent has completed the above, please return all signature pages and errata sheets to VerbalTech Inc. at the above address, and VerbalTech Inc. will handle distribution to the respective parties.

If you have any questions, please call me at the phone number below.

____ Procedure outlined in Rule 207 (a) of
the Illinois Supreme Court Rules

Sincerely,



Nohemi Salazar Pitts,
Court Reporter
(312) 869-4039

cc: ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD